Application No. Applicant(s) 10/799,608 OTA ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2627 Matthew G. Kayrish All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Matthew G. Kayrish. (3)Robert Jackson. (2) _____. (4)____. Date of Interview: 13 July 2007. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1,4 and 8. Identification of prior art discussed: Ainslie et al (US Patent Number 4761699). Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \boxtimes was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed amendments to claims 1, 4 and 8 have been discussed. The prior art has been discussed and distinguished from the present application. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Interview Summary

AYME YOUNG

PATENT EXAMINER

Paper No. 20070713